

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/051,556	01/17/2002	Henry Wang	10065-001	8684
29391	7590 08/25/2005		EXAMINER	
BEUSSE BROWNLEE WOLTER MORA & MAIRE, P. A.			FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR	
390 NORTH SUITE 2500	ORTH ORANGE AVENUE E 2500		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ORLANDO,	FL 32801		3724	

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}$			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/051,556	WANG, HENRY			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported to the communication apport.	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timey within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju	uly 2005.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-23,35 and 36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-17,20 and 21 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 2,4 and 6 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,18,19,22,35 and 36 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 23 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
A44aab	4(-)					
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) LIInfor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 06/20/05 and the remarks received on 7/26/05. Also, the amendment has being entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 18, 19, 22, 35 and 36 and are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Essick (6,491,073 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Essick discloses (Fig. 1-9) the invention including a body 120, a center leg 110 having a leg work piece-contacting surface, first and second tunnels (Fig. 2), a first leg 140 having a first leg work piece-contacting surface 170, non-slip surfaces (the bottom surface of the three legs), a second leg 140 having a second leg work piece-contacting surface 170 (the right leg on Fig. 1-2) and a handle 115. Regarding claims 18, 19 and 22, Essick shows in addition to what is stated above regarding claim 1, a structure 120, a flat surface (see Fig. 1-2,the side end of the structure 120), tunnels (see Fig. 2), means 116 for adjusting the width of the tunnel and coplanar work piece contacting surfaces (Fig. 2). Regarding claim 35, wherein at least one of the first, second and center legs is removeably attached to the body (see Fig. 4). Regarding claim

Art Unit: 3724

36, wherein the width of the legs are different (see Fig. 2). Essick's device is capable of passing a saw device through the tunnel.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 2, 4 and 6 are allowed.
- 5. Claim 23 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments, filed on 6/20/05 and 7/26/05, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 18 under a 102 rejection have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Essick (6,491,073 B1).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. England, Joslin and Jennings are cited to show related device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ofs 8/21/05

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700